

From: "Blair Taylor" <[btaylor@memphistomorrow.org](mailto:btaylor@memphistomorrow.org)>  
To: "William Gibbons (wgibbons)" <[wgibbons@memphis.edu](mailto:wgibbons@memphis.edu)>  
"Ben Adams" <[badams@bakerdonelson.com](mailto:badams@bakerdonelson.com)>  
"Amy Weirich" <[amy.weirich@scdag.com](mailto:amy.weirich@scdag.com)>  
"Linda Russell" <[Linda.Russell@tn.gov](mailto:Linda.Russell@tn.gov)>  
"Brona Pinnolis" <[bpinnolis@memphiscrime.org](mailto:bpinnolis@memphiscrime.org)>  
"Harold Collins" <[hcollins@memphiscrime.org](mailto:hcollins@memphiscrime.org)>  
CC: "Sharon Walker" <[swalker@memphiscrime.org](mailto:swalker@memphiscrime.org)>  
Date: 9/12/2016 3:01:06 PM  
Subject: RE: Shopping the draft language

---

But for heavens sake please don't call it the "Blair" draft outside of this internal team!

Blair Taylor  
President  
Memphis Tomorrow  
22 North Front Street, Suite 670  
Memphis, TN 38103  
Office: 901.322.8080  
[btaylor@memphistomorrow.org](mailto:btaylor@memphistomorrow.org)

---

From: William Gibbons (wgibbons) [<mailto:wgibbons@memphis.edu>]  
Sent: Monday, September 12, 2016 1:57 PM  
To: Ben Adams <[badams@bakerdonelson.com](mailto:badams@bakerdonelson.com)>; Blair Taylor <[btaylor@memphistomorrow.org](mailto:btaylor@memphistomorrow.org)>; Amy Weirich <[amy.weirich@scdag.com](mailto:amy.weirich@scdag.com)>; Linda Russell <[Linda.Russell@tn.gov](mailto:Linda.Russell@tn.gov)>; Brona Pinnolis <[bpinnolis@memphiscrime.org](mailto:bpinnolis@memphiscrime.org)>; Harold Collins <[hcollins@memphiscrime.org](mailto:hcollins@memphiscrime.org)>  
Cc: Sharon Walker <[swalker@memphiscrime.org](mailto:swalker@memphiscrime.org)>  
Subject: Re: Shopping the draft language

We now have our latest "Blair draft." So, I urge everyone to make your contacts with the appropriate people to "shop" the language !

BG

---

From: William Gibbons (wgibbons)  
Sent: Friday, September 09, 2016 4:13 PM  
To: Ben Adams; [btaylor@memphistomorrow.org](mailto:btaylor@memphistomorrow.org); Amy Weirich; Linda Russell; Brona Pinnolis; Harold Collins  
Cc: Sharon Walker  
Subject: Shopping the draft language

At our meeting yesterday, Blair "volunteered" to do a new draft of our plan outline and have it to us by Sunday evening (although I think Monday morning would be fine).

After that, we agreed that, next week, we need to run the draft wording and preliminary KPIs by the pertinent stakeholders for each strategy. We made assignments to various people accordingly. Here's what my notes reflect:

1. Pro-active, data driven policing - Amy to run it by Rallings and Oldham
2. Staffing assessment/recruitment/retention - Amy to run it by Rallings/Oldham
3. Felons in possession of guns - Amy to run it by Rallings and Oldham/ Bill to run it by Norris and DeBerry
4. Reduce gang violence through a targeted, coordinated enforcement, prosecution, and intervention effort (aka GVI) - Amy to run it by Rallings and Oldham (and maybe Ed Stanton ?)
5. Family Safety Center - Brona to run it by Olliette (but note we have a meeting with her next Tuesday afternoon, which can be used for this purpose)
6. Reduce domestic violence repeat offenders (aka DVI) - Amy to run it by Rallings and Olliette
7. Judicial commissioners - punting for now (will discuss with Olliette et al next Tuesday)
8. Transitional work program for ex-offenders - Bill to run it by Olliette,, Bill Gupton (and Tony Parker - done)
9. Swift, certain and fair administrative sanctions for those on supervision - handled by meeting this morning, except for finalizing the KPI(s)
10. Safeways - Bill to run it by Janine
11. Neighborhood Safety Initiative - Harold to call TK Buchanan and Linda Whalen to ask if they would be interested in participating in pilot/ Harold will then send them an email with the summary concept attached (Blair has drafted a suggested email)/ Harold will then follow up with another phone to make sure they are on board/ Harold to also run it by Steve Shular
12. Multi-Entity Resource Center for Youth - MERCY (aka JAC) - Bill to run it by Judge Michael, Chief Darling and Norris

Let me know if I have misstated any assignments.

Also, I am getting a little concerned that, in cutting back to a manageable number of strong strategies, we are looking too bare-boned !(I think Brona feels that way too.) Specifically, we have one strategy for the juvenile crime goal. Should we have at least two ? (One possibility might be some version of extending jurisdiction of the juvenile system past age 18 under certain circumstances. I was asked about this in a CA podcast this afternoon and basically said some version of what Judge Michael was advocating might have some merit.) Anyway, give it some thought.

BG

mlll